

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D		′ (CI)		
AIRS ID#: 0112472 DAT	TE: <u>9/20/2012</u> RT LAUDERDALE WOODWO	ARRIVE: 1000		DEPART: <u>1130</u>		
FACILITY LOCATION						
OWNER/AUTHORIZEI Email: sandywatts@e CONTACT NAME: CI Email: sandywatts@e ENTITLEMENT PERIO	D REPRESENTATIVE: CHA earthlink.net HARLES WATTS earthlink.net	ARLES WATTS	Mobile:	(954)935-0366 (954)935-0366		
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or						
have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?						
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))						
emission limiting 2. Does the facility	e coating operation(s) subject to g standard of Chapter 62-296.500 cause, suffer, allow or permit the odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.	0, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-2) e discharge of air poll	10.300(3)(c) utants which	4.b., F.A.C.) n cause or contribute to	☐Yes ⊠No	

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE RI (check 🗹 appropriate box(es))	EQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	reducing VOC emissions by: ective application with a minimum of overspray? e coating?
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES	S – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>. </u>
1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without c) replacement of existing equipment substantially or recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4. local program office?	t replacement? different than that noted on the most
Elizabeth F. Susky	9/20/2012
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	9/20/2012
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Woodworking, Inc. The owner Charles Watts accompanied state one spray booth, one small dust collector and one large cyclone submitted his VOC records to AQD staff. The highest month with threshold). Mr. Watts was informed about the complaint that was received ago the large cyclone dust collector did malfunction and saw dust.	by this department. Mr. Watts stated that approximately three weeks ust was improperly discharged from the dust collector. Mr. Watts the time of the inspection the dust collector was repaired and the